

IT-TRIBUNAL TAL-BINI U L-KOSTRUZZJONI

Illum il-10 ta' Dicembru, 2024

Rikors numru: BCT/42/2024 CV

Membri:

Avukat Christopher L. Vella L.L.B., Dip. Not., LL.D.(Melit.)

Perit Samuel Formosa B.E.&A.(Hons), M.Sc. Arch.(Lond), M.Sc.(Env.Pln.& Mgt.), A.&C.E.

Ing. Johan A. Psaila B. Eng. (Hons.), Pg. Dipl. (Brunel)

Aaron Caruana

vs

Awtorita' tal-Bini u l-Kostruzzjoni

It-Tribunal

Ra r-rikors tal-appell ippreżentat mill-Perit Pierre Poulton għan-nom ta' Aaron Caruana fejn permezz tiegħu huwa ppremetta u talab is-segwenti:

I refer to the appeal received by Mr. Aaron Caruana on the captioned site in Birzebbugia.

*Below please find evidence and clarifications to substantiate the appeal.
The Authority's claim is that the works completed on the captioned could lead to damages to third parties. This is however untrue and without any basis whatsoever.*

A declaration has been filed in this sense on the 7th of October 2022 which was then further reinforced by DOC 204a on eapps on 18th October 2022.

The photos shown below evidence that during the construction of the lower floors of the building the foundations of the site and the site at the back of the property were built independently and individually.

Infact the site at the back of the property was over excavated creating a huge inconvenience to my client who had to wait over 4 months until the back of the site was reinstated by the third parties.

Avoidance of Damages to Third party Procedures

It is in the interest of all parties most of all in the interest of my client to safeguard third parties from damages.

However given the circumstances and given that the nature of the working procedures on this site, in no instance was there a risk of any damages to third parties.

The only risk was the damage that could be suffered to my client's backyard and foundations by third party construction works.

Continuation of works from the first floor upwards

Although the works onsite were subdivided in two applications, namely PA 3340/18 and PA 08533/20 the works were continuous.

Moreover as previously stated the two developments were totally independent and no risk of damages to third parties were intensified.

Appeal from fine and Stopworks

The intervention that was completed on the 19th of June was mainly related to the reinstatement of the pavement and the section of street infront of the site (outside the limits of the site)

This intervention was necessary to avoid any risk of flooding of the site that could then initiate a risk of water reaching third-party property. Although this work involved interventions on a public road that is the responsibility of the local authorities (already paid by the applicant in the PA process), my client opted to pay for the works from his funds to eliminate any risks to third parties.

As a result, he is being penalised with an unjust fine.

In the circumstance and in view of the fact that my client always acted in the interest of all parties, we feel that the fine imposed by the BCA is unjust.

Moreover, given that the remaining works on the site relate to water tightness of the block and general finishes away from the back of the property that as explained previously is totally independent of third parties, it is hereby being requested that the stop notice is revoked. ”.

Ra r-risposta tal-Awtorita' li permezz tagħha wieġbet u eċċepiet is-segwenti:

1. Illi l-Awtorità appellata qiegħda umilment tissottometti li kwalunkwe deċiżjoni meħuda kienet in konformita' ma dak rikjest mil-liġi, u kwindi korretta f'kull aspett;
2. Illi jidher car li dan is-sit kien milqut min "stop works" (koppja annessa u mmarkata bħala 207a) u kwindi ma seta jsir l-ebda xogħol mingħajr l-approvazzjoni tal-awtorita';
3. Illi l-appellant qiegħed jiddikjara li sar xogħol fuq il-paviment ta' quddiem is-sit. Dan ma huwiex għal kollex korrett stante li hekk kif jidher mir-ritratti anessi u mmarkati komplexivament bħala Dok A, ix-xogħol ma kienx qiegħed jsir fuq il-bankina 'žda fis-sit eżattament fil- "front garden". Allura tali xogħol kien qiegħed jsir illegalment kontra id-deċiżjoni tal-Awtorita' ;
4. Illi l-appellant jiddikjara wkoll li xogħol sar stante li kien hemm periklu li jkun hemm ingress ta' ilma, u dana setgħa wkoll jikkagħuna ħsara lil terzi. Apparti li l-Awtorita' qiegħed tikkontendi li dan ma huwiex minnu, pero' l-appellant naqas wkoll milli jitlob permess lil Awtorita' sabiex jsir xogħol sabiex jkun evitat xi ingress ta' ilma futur.
5. Għaldaqstant fuq dak suindikat, l-Awtorita' appellata qiegħda umilment titlob lil dan l-Onor. Tribunal sabiex tixxħad l-appell odjern;
6. Salv eċċeżżjonijiet ulterjur

F'nota ohra mill perit ta l-appellant, huwa rribatta r-risposta tal-Awtorita billi ssottometta illi:

"Appeal from Enforcement

Evidence of unjust fine

The reply from the BCA refers to works done in the property's front garden.

This is totally incorrect and misleading.

I refer to the sketch presented below showing the location of the remedial works done in green. My client's property is marked in red.

The area does not include any front garden. The site is located in an old Quarry that was over 4m deep. Part of the Quarry extended in the Public Road. Mr. Caruana opted to reinstate the public road which was considered very dangerous for walking pedestrians at his own expense until the local authorities choose to complete the rest of the road.

It should be emphasised that this is totally in line with the provisions of the avoidance of Damages to third parties that not only considers damages to buildings but also aims to avoid damages and nuisance to the public in general."

Fir-Risposta ulterjuri tal-Awtorita' tal-Bini u l-Kostruzzjoni għall din is-sottomissjoni, l-Awtorita argumentat illi

“Illi l-Awtorità tikkontendi li ulterjorment ghal dak li hemm indikat fir-risposta tal-Perit P. Poulton appartie dak li gie indikat fir-risposta tal-Awtorita’ sar xogħol iehor mingħajr permess fejn tinkludi wkoll l-kostruzzjoni ta’ sulari addizzjonali waqt li kien hemm ordni ta’ waqfien mix-xogħol datata 19/1/2023 (e-apps 207a)”

Ra l-atti u d-dokumenti kollha.

Ra l-verbali tas-seduti kollha li seħħew quddiem it-Tribunal.

Ra d-deposizzjonijiet tax-xhieda li tressqu quddiem it-Tribunal.

Ra li l-partijiet iddikjaraw l-istadju tal-provi tagħhom magħluq.

Semgħa it-trattazzjonijiet finali tal-partijiet.

Ra li l-kawża thalliet għal-llum għas-sentenza.

Ikkunsidra:

Dan l-appell huwa dwar ordni tal-Awtorità tal-Bini u l-Kostruzzjoni li permezz tagħha l-appellant gie ornat iwaqqaf ix-xogħlilijiet ta’ žvilupp fis-sit li jinsab fi Plot 5, Triq Dun Gorg Zammit, Birzebbu u kif ukoll ġiet imposta multa fl-ammont ta’ elf ewro (€1000). Il-baži tal-ordni u l-multa huwa s-segwenti :

“Fid- 19 ta’ Gunju 2024 kif ukoll fix-xhur u fis-snin ta’ qabel, saru xogħlilijiet ta’ kostruzzjoni li mhux konformi mal-Legislazzjoni Sussidjarja 623.06, senjatament minħabba li bdejt xogħol ta’ KOSTRUZZJONI MINGHAJR ma ssottomettejt d-dokumentazzjoni kollha kif meħtieg u MINGHAJR l-approvazzjoni bil-miktub mill-Awtorita’ tal-Bini u l-Kostruzzjoni.”

Il-Perit Pierre Poulton xehed fejn spjega li kien hemm żewġ permessi fuq is-sit in kwistjoni, l-ewwel wieħed għall-ewwel żewġ sulari u garaxxijiet u mbagħad permess iehor biex jitkomplew is-sulari. Fl-ewwel permess, PA 3340/18, il-perit stqarr li ngiebet clearance ai termini tar-Regolament 26 u dan peress li ma kienx hemm bini biswit is-sit. Huwa spjega ukoll li sadanittant, bdiet tinbena ukoll sit oħra ta’ terzi wara dik odjerna

u ghalhekk l-iżvilupp waqaf għal perjodu qasir u reġa tkompli sakemm tlesta il-bini shell form f'Ottubru / Novembru tas-sena 2022. Rigward it-tieni permess, cioè, PA 08533/20 ma jirrizultax li ġew sottomessi id-dokumenti meħtieġa u lanqas ma ġie prezentat li ġar get xi *clearance* mill-Awtorita fuq il-kumplament tal-iżvilupp.

Xehed ukoll ir-rappreżentant tal-Awtorità responsabbli mill-każ odjern, Noel Massa li kkonferma bil-gurament illi fid-19 ta' Ĝunju tal-2024 kien sar xi xogħol meta digħa kien hemm ordni maħruġa fid-19 ta' Jannar 2023 fuq is-sit peress li kien hemm xi dokumenti neqsin li ma gewx ntavolati mal-Awtorità tal-Bini u l-Kostruzzjoni.

It-Tribunal jibda biex jagħmel referenza għal Regolament numru 4 tal-Avviż Legali 136 tal-2019 li jagħmilha čara li dawn ir-regolamenti huma applikabbli għal kwalunkwe xogħol ta' kostruzzjoni li jinvolvi:

- (a) skavar,
- (b) id-demolizzjoni jew tneħħija ta' kull struttura eżistent
- (c) il-bini ta' sulari addizzjonali jew ħitan ta' support jew strutturi fuq xi proprjetà ta' jew okkupata minn terzi persuni;
- (d) il-kostruzzjoni ta' bini ġdid jew sulari addizzjonali biswit proprjetà eżistenti ta' terzi persuni

Fl-ewwel permess, cioè PA 3340/18, gie dikjarat li ngiebet *clearance* ai termini tar-Regolament 26 tal-Avviż legali 136 tal-2019, mill-Awtorita għal dan l-izvilupp - dikjarazzjoni li ma gietx kontestata mill-Awtorita, fil-waqt li fit-tieni permess, cioè, PA 08533/20, jidher čar skond ir-regolament numru 4 tal-Avviż Legali 136 tal-2019 li r-rekwiżiti mitluba f'dawn ir-regolamenti japplikaw.

Għalhekk, it-Tribunal jagħmel referenza għal Regolamenti numru 6 u 7 tal-Avviż Legali 136 tal-2019 li jagħmilha čara li hemm numru ta' obbligi, rikjesti mit-tali Avviż Legali li għandu l-iżviluppatur qabel ma jibda kif ukoll waqt kwalunwke stadju tal-proġġett, li huma s-segwenti:

6. (1) *L-iżviluppatur għandu jiżgura li xogħlijiet tad-demolizzjoni, skavar jew kostruzzjoni li jaqgħu taħt id-dispożizzjonijiet ta' dawn ir-regolamenti għandhom ikunu assiguratib'mod adatt u adegwat sabiex ikopru kull īxsara waħdanja jewrikorrenti kkaġunata lil proprjetà ta' terzi persuni, dīżabilità lil persuni jew mewt li*

tirriżulta mix-xogħolijiet jew attivită ta' kostruzzjoni mwettqa mill-iżviluppatur u l-kuntratturi li jkunu qegħdin jaħdmu fuqis-sit

(2) L-iżviluppatur għandu jissottometti lid-Direttur kopja tal-kopertura ta' assigurazzjoni, skont is-subregolament (1), flimkien ma'ittra mill-assiguratur

(3) B'żieda mad-dispożizzjonijiet tas-subregolamenti (1) u (2), l-iżviluppatur għandu jissottometti garanzija bankarja lid-Diretturgħall-attivitajiet kollha ta' kostruzzjoni li m'humiex imniżżla fir-regolament 26.

7.(1) Mhux aktar tard minn ġimgħatejn (2) qabel majinbdew xixogħlijiet ta' skavar, demolizzjoni jew kostruzzjoni li jaqawta ht dawn ir-regolamenti, il-perit tal-proġetti għandu jissottometti d-dikjarazzjonital-metodu relattiva skont ir-Raba', il-Hames u s-Sitt Skedi u rapport dwar il-kondizzjoni tal-proprietà skont is-Seba' Skedali inħarġet bil-lingwa bl-Ingliz biss, fuq websajt li jippreskrivi d-Direttur.

It-Tribunal jagħmel referenza ukoll għal sub-Regolament 7(12) li jgħid:

(12) Il-bidu ta' kwalunkwe xogħol ta' skavar, demolizzjoni jew kostruzzjoni jistgħu jidħlu fis-seħħħ biss wara li l-Awtorità tal-Bini u l-Kostruzzjoni tagħti approvazzjoni bil-miktub.

It-Tribunal jinnota li peress li dawn ir-regolamenti huma applikabbli għall-permess PA 08533/20, l-izviluppatur kellu l-obbligu li jottjeni *clearance* mill-ġdid mill-Awtorita għar-riward ta' dan il-permess. Għaldaqstant l-Awtorita' kienet korretta fid-deċiżjoni tagħha li tordna t-twaqqif tax-xogħlijiet odjerni u f'li timponi l-penali in kwistjoni.

Għaldaqstant dan it-Tribunal ser jgħaddi sabiex jiċħad l-appell u jikkonferma l-Avviż ta-Infurzar u l-penali amministrattiva imposta.

Decide

Dan it-Tribunal, għar-raġunijiet fuq imsemmija qiegħed jiċħad l-appell u jikkonferma d-deċiżjoni tal-Awtorità tal-Bini u l-Kostruzzjoni ta' nhar id-19 ta' Gunju, 2024.

*****VERU KOPJA TAL-ORIGINAL*****

Dr Christopher L Vella

Chairperson

Perit Samuel Formosa

Membru

Ing. Johan A. Psaila

Membru